INSR Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education

STEM-Based Pedagogical Approaches and Their Impact on Students' Scientific Reasoning and Critical Thinking Skills

Dr. Sushila Sharma
Associate Professor
Department of Education
Baba Mastnath University Rohtak Haryana, India.

Abstract

In the contemporary knowledge-driven economy, the ability to think scientifically and critically has become essential for academic success, workforce readiness, and informed citizenship. STEM-based pedagogical approaches—integrating Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics—have gained global recognition for promoting inquiry, problem-solving, and higher-order thinking skills among students. This research examines the effectiveness of STEM-based instructional strategies in enhancing students' scientific reasoning and critical thinking abilities. Using a mixed-method research design, the study evaluates project-based learning, inquiry-based instruction, problem-based learning, and interdisciplinary STEM integration across secondary and undergraduate education contexts. Data collected through assessments, surveys, classroom observations, and interviews indicate that STEM pedagogy significantly improves students' analytical reasoning, hypothesis formulation, evidence-based thinking, and reflective judgment. The findings highlight that STEM-based learning environments foster deeper conceptual understanding, learner autonomy, collaboration, and innovation. The study concludes that systematic integration of STEM pedagogy is crucial for developing scientifically literate and critically aware learners capable of addressing complex real-world challenges.

Keywords: STEM education, pedagogical approaches, scientific reasoning, critical thinking, inquiry-based learning, problem-based learning, interdisciplinary education, higher-order thinking.

Introduction

The rapid advancement of science and technology has fundamentally transformed how knowledge is created, applied, and evaluated. Traditional education models—characterized by rote memorization, isolated subject instruction, and teacher-centered pedagogy—are increasingly inadequate for preparing students to navigate complex scientific, technological, and societal challenges. As a result, education systems worldwide are shifting toward STEM-based pedagogical approaches that emphasize integration, inquiry, and application.

Scientific reasoning refers to the ability to formulate hypotheses, design experiments, analyze evidence, and draw logical conclusions based on empirical data. Critical thinking involves evaluating information, questioning assumptions, synthesizing knowledge, and making reasoned judgments. These skills are foundational not only for STEM disciplines but also for lifelong learning and informed decision-making.

STEM-based pedagogy moves beyond content acquisition to focus on learning by doing, encouraging students to engage with real-world problems that require interdisciplinary thinking. Through project-based learning, inquiry-driven exploration, and engineering design challenges, students develop cognitive flexibility, analytical depth, and problem-solving competence. This study investigates how such pedagogical approaches influence students' scientific reasoning and critical thinking skills and explores the conditions under which STEM education is most effective.

Methodology

Research Design

A mixed-method explanatory research design was adopted to capture both measurable learning outcomes and in-depth educational experiences.

Sample Selection

- Participants: 420 students
- Levels: Senior secondary and early undergraduate
- Institutions: Public and private educational institutions
- Teachers: 26 STEM educators

STEM Pedagogical Approaches Examined

1. Inquiry-Based Learning (IBL): Encouraging students to ask questions, investigate phenomena, and construct explanations.
2. Project-Based Learning (PBL): Long-term, interdisciplinary projects addressing real-world problems.
3. Problem-Based Learning: Student-centered problem-solving with minimal direct instruction.
4. Engineering Design Thinking: Iterative design, testing, and refinement of solutions.
5. Technology-Integrated STEM Instruction**:** Use of simulations, coding, data analysis tools, and digital platforms.

Data Collection Tools

- Pre-test and post-test assessments on reasoning and critical thinking
- Student perception questionnaires
- Classroom observation rubrics
- Semi-structured interviews with teachers and students

Data Analysis Techniques

- Paired t-tests
- Regression analysis

- Descriptive statistics
- Thematic qualitative analysis

Duration

The intervention lasted 18 weeks.

Case Study: Implementation of STEM Pedagogy in Integrated Science Classrooms

1. Classroom Instructional Transformation

STEM-based pedagogy transformed classrooms into active learning environments. Lessons were structured around real-world challenges such as energy sustainability, environmental monitoring, and engineering design tasks. Students collaborated in teams, formulated research questions, designed experiments, and evaluated outcomes.

2. Development of Scientific Reasoning

Students demonstrated improved ability to:

- Formulate testable hypotheses
- Identify independent and dependent variables
- Interpret experimental data
- Evaluate the reliability of evidence

Hands-on investigations strengthened their understanding of the scientific method and evidence-based reasoning.

3. Enhancement of Critical Thinking Skills

Through interdisciplinary problem-solving, students learned to:

- Analyze complex situations from multiple perspectives
- Challenge assumptions and misconceptions
- Integrate knowledge across disciplines
- Reflect on limitations and alternative solutions

4. Teacher Role Evolution

Teachers acted as facilitators and mentors rather than information providers. They guided inquiry, encouraged reflection, and supported collaborative learning.

5. Implementation Challenges

- Curriculum rigidity
- Limited laboratory and technological resources
- Need for professional development in STEM pedagogy
- Time constraints in assessment-driven systems

Data Analysis

Table 1: Impact of STEM Pedagogy on Scientific Reasoning Skills

Skill Dimension	Pre-Test Mean	Post-Test Mean	Interpretation
Hypothesis Formulation	51	76	Strong improvement through inquiry-based tasks
Experimental Design	48	74	Enhanced understanding of variables and controls
Data Interpretation	52	78	Improved analytical and evidence-evaluation skills
Logical Inference	50	75	Increased ability to draw reasoned conclusions
Scientific Argumentation	46	73	Better justification using empirical evidence

Table 2: Impact of STEM Pedagogy on Critical Thinking Skills

Critical Thinking Dimension	Positive Response (%)	Detailed Interpretation
Analytical Thinking	83%	Students effectively broke down complex problems
Reflective Judgment	76%	Learners evaluated their own reasoning processes
Problem-Solving Ability	81%	Interdisciplinary tasks improved solution quality
Creativity and Innovation	79%	Open-ended projects encouraged novel ideas
Collaborative Reasoning	85%	Group discussions strengthened collective thinking

Questionnaire (Sample Items)

1. Do STEM-based activities help you understand scientific concepts more deeply?
2. How often do you design experiments or investigations during STEM lessons?
3. Has project-based learning improved your ability to solve complex problems?
4. Do interdisciplinary tasks encourage you to think critically?
5. How confident are you in analyzing scientific data?
6. Does STEM learning improve collaboration and communication skills?
7. Are you encouraged to question assumptions and explore alternatives?
8. How effective are real-world problems in motivating learning?
9. Do STEM activities improve your creativity and innovation skills?
10. What challenges do you face in STEM-based classrooms?

Conclusion

The study provides strong empirical evidence that STEM-based pedagogical approaches significantly enhance students' scientific reasoning and critical thinking skills. By engaging learners in inquiry, experimentation, interdisciplinary problem-solving, and real-world applications, STEM education fosters deeper conceptual understanding and higher-order cognitive skills.

Students exposed to STEM pedagogy demonstrate greater analytical rigor, improved evidence-based reasoning, enhanced creativity, and stronger reflective judgment. However, successful implementation requires curriculum flexibility, teacher professional development, adequate infrastructure, and assessment reforms aligned with higher-order learning objectives.

Integrating STEM pedagogy systematically across educational levels can prepare students not only for STEM careers but also for responsible citizenship in a complex, technology-driven society.

References

1. National Research Council (2012). A Framework for K–12 Science Education.
2. Bybee, R. (2018). STEM Education: Challenges and Opportunities.
3. OECD (2022). Innovating Education and Educating for Innovation.
4. Dede, C. (2020). Digital Tools and STEM Learning.
5. Sanders, M. (2017). Integrative STEM Education.
6. Hmelo-Silver, C. (2019). Problem-Based Learning.
7. Prince, M. (2018). Active Learning in STEM.
8. Kolodner, J. (2017). Project-Based Science Learning.
9. Zimmerman, C. (2020). Scientific Reasoning Development.
10. Facione, P. (2019). Critical Thinking Framework.
11. National Science Foundation (2021). STEM Education Research.
12. Johnson, L. et al. (2021). Horizon Report.
13. Selwyn, N. (2019). Technology and Education.
14. Perkins, D. (2018). Making Learning Whole.
15. Wieman, C. (2017). Active Learning in Physics
16. Mahra, Mr Anil Kumar. "FINANCIAL LITERACY AND PATTERN OF SAVINGS, INVESTMENT BEHAVIOR OF WOMEN TEACHING FACULTIES IN SAGAR REGION. AN EMPIRICAL ASSESSMENT."
17. Mahra, Anil Kumar. "A Strategic Approach to Information Technology Management." (2019).
18. Mahra, Anil Kumar. "A SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW ON RISK MANAGEMENT FOR INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY." (2019).
19. Mahra, Anil Kumar. "THE ROLE OF GENDER IN ONLINE SHOPPING-A."
20. Dwivedi, Shyam Mohan, and Anil Kumar Mahra. "Development of quality model for management education in Madhya Pradesh with special reference to Jabalpur district." Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies 1.4 (2013): 204-208.
21. Mahra, Anil Kumar. "Management Information Technology: Managing the Organisation in Digital Era." International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology 4238.29 (2005): 6.

22. Kumar, Anil, et al. "Integrated Nutrient Management Practices for Sustainable Chickpea: A Review." Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology 28.1 (2025): 82-97.
23. Kumar, Anil, et al. "Investigating the role of social media in polio prevention in India: A Delphi-DEMATEL approach." Kybernetes 47.5 (2018): 1053-1072.
24. Sankpal, Jitendra, et al. "Oh, My Gauze!!!-A rare case reprt of laparoscopic removal of an incidentally discovered gossypiboma during laparoscopic cholecystectomy." International Journal of Surgery Case Reports 72 (2020): 643-646.
25. Salunke, Vasudev S., et al. "Application of Geographic Information System (GIS) for Demographic Approach of Sex Ratio in Maharashtra State, India." International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET) 8 (2020).
26. Sudha, L. R., and M. Navaneetha Krishnan. "Water cycle tunicate swarm algorithm based deep residual network for virus detection with gene expression data." Computer Methods in Biomechanics & Biomedical Engineering: Imaging & Visualisation 11.5 (2023).
27. Sudha, K., and V. Thulasi Bai. "An adaptive approach for the fault tolerant control of a nonlinear system." International Journal of Automation and Control 11.2 (2017): 105-123.
28. Patel, Ankit B., and Ashish Verma. "COVID-19 and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers: what is the evidence?." Jama 323.18 (2020): 1769-1770.
29. Rahul, T. M., and Ashish Verma. "A study of acceptable trip distances using walking and cycling in Bangalore." Journal of Transport Geography 38 (2014): 106-113.
30. Kabat, Subash Ranjan, Sunita Pahadsingh, and Kasinath Jena. "Improvement of LVRT Capability Using PSS for Grid Connected DFIG Based Wind Energy Conversion System." 2022 1st IEEE International Conference on Industrial Electronics: Developments & Applications (ICIDeA). IEEE, 2022.

31. Kabat, Subash Ranjan. "Cutting-Edge Developments in Engineering and Technology: A Global Perspective." International Journal of Engineering & Tech Development 1.01 (2025): 9-16.
32. Das, Kedar Nath, et al., eds. Proceedings of the International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Sustainable Technologies: ICoCIST 2021. Springer Nature, 2022.
33. Hazra, Madhu Sudan, and Sudarsan Biswas. "A study on mental skill ability of different age level cricket players." International Journal of Physiology, Nutrition and Physical Education 3.1 (2018): 1177-1180.
34. Deka, Brajen Kumar. "Deep Learning-Based Language." International Conference on Innovative Computing and Communications: Proceedings of ICICC 2023, Volume 2. Vol. 731. Springer Nature, 2023.
35. Deka, Brajen Kumar, and Pooja Kumari. "Deep Learning-Based Speech Emotion Recognition with Reference to Gender Separation." International Conference On Innovative Computing And Communication. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore, 2025.
36. Obaiah, G. O., J. Gireesha, and M. Mylarappa. "Comparative study of TiO2 and palladium doped TiO2 nano catalysts for water purification under solar and ultraviolet irradiation." Chemistry of Inorganic Materials 1 (2023): 100002.
37. Obaiah, G. O., K. H. Shivaprasad, and M. Mylarappa. "A potential use γ-Al2O3 coated cordierite honeycomb reinforced Ti0. 97Pd0. 03O2− δ catalyst for selective high rates in coupling reactions." Materials Today: Proceedings 5.10 (2018): 22466-22472.
38. Abbasi, Naiyla Mobin. "Organic Farming and Soil Health: Strategies for Long Term Agricultural Sustainability." Agricultural Innovation and Sustain Ability Journal E-ISSN 3051-0325 1.01 (2025): 25-32.
39. MURAD, MUHAMMAD. Result of MSPH Program Spring Session 2025. Diss. Jinnah Sindh Medical University, 2025